UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,334	10/27/2005	Mikhail I Papisov	0492479-0041	1459
	7590 07/15/200 LL & STEWART LLP	EXAMINER		
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			CHU, YONG LIANG	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@choate.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,334	PAPISOV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	YONG CHU	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a r I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,11,12,14,19,20,32-51,54-56,58 a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-40,44-51,54-56,5 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6, 11-12, 14, 19-20, and 41-43 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	58 and 59 is/are withdrawn are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/24/2009 has been entered. Upon entering the submission, claims 1-6, 11-12, 14, 19-20, 32-51, 54-56, 58 and 59 are currently pending, claims 32-40, 44-51, 54-56, 58 and 59 remain withdrawn as non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-6, 11-12, 14, 19-20, and 41-43 are under examination on the merits.

## Response to RCE Submission

#### Rejection of claims 1-12, 14, 19-22 and 41-43 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Applicants' amendment and arguments have been fully considered, but not sufficient to overcome the rejection.

The present claims are drawn to biodegradable biocompatible polyacetals or polyketals conjugated to modifiers via oxime linkages. Applicants argue that the application of the Cervigni methods to the polyacetals of the `398 patent would not achieve the claimed invention, because the biodegradable biocompatible polyacetal exemplified by the `398 patent is PHF, which is pH-dependent. Cervigni exemplifies very harsh (*i.e.* pH 3 for 120 hours) conditions for conjugation that would cause nearly complete hydrolysis of the PHF main chain. This argument is not persuasive. The

Application/Control Number: 10/521,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

harsh reaction condition for forming the oxime bond in the Scheme 2 is required specifically for making a saccharide-oxime-peptide conjugate, due to the conversion of saccharide hemiacetyl to saccharide aldehyde in the starting material of the saccharide 6. This harsh condition is not the only pH condition taught by the Cervigni methods. At page 1230, column 2, lines 1-6 of the reference, Cervigni specifically taught "an oxime bond is formed regioselectively under mild aqueous conditions in a one-pot reaction." In addition, at the same page, column 1, third paragraph, the reference 8 is provided for making oxime bonds. See K. Rose, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 30-33. Specifically, at page 33 of the reference, an oxime formation is carried out at pH 4.6 at 22 °C. Even though the oxime bond is used to link to peptides at the both end, the reaction condition matter for making oxime bond is only related to a reaction of an aldehyde group and an amino-oxy group (i.e. -CHO + -O-NH<sub>2</sub> to -CH=N-O-). Therefore, the instant claims would be obvious over the Cervigni teaching in view of the `398 patent. The Office has established prima facie obviousness case, and the burden of coming forward with evidence or arguments shifts to the applicant who may submit additional evidence of nonobviousness, such as comparative test data showing that the claimed invention possesses improved properties not expected by the prior art (e.g. unexpected results).

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1626

## Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Chu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. M<sup>©</sup>Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Status Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Yong Chu/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 Application/Control Number: 10/521,334

Page 5

Art Unit: 1626